PATENT Attorney Docket: 207,561

REMARKS

By a telephone call of November 16, 2010, the Examiner advised that the Preliminary Amendment which was filed on August 25, 2010, should have been characterized by the USPTO as being Non-Compliant.

Specifically, in former claim 1, subparagraph (i), the phrase -- the pyrethroid class-- should have been underlined to denote its having been amended.

The Examiner also indicated there were other amendments made that were not properly indicated in the Preliminary Amendment.

In order to correct these problems, it was agreed between the Examiner and the undersigned that presently pending claims 1-15 would be cancelled and a new set of claims 16-28 would be entered. These claims 16-28 are the same as claims 1-11, 14 and 15, except for dependencies, in the amendment of August 24, 2010.

When reviewing Applicants' amendment of August 24, 2010, it is understood that the Examiner will take cognizance of the changes in the numbering of the claims.

The presently pending claims are new claims 16-24.

Claims 25-28, formerly claims 10, 11, 14 and 15, have been withdrawn pursuant to the Restriction Requirement of September 23, 2009.

Entry of this Amendment is respectfully solicited.

While it is believed that no fees are due, in the event any fees are due, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 01-0035.

Respectfully submitted,

ABELMAN, FRAYNE & SCHWAB

Attorneys for Applicant

By

∫Jay S. ¢ińamo

Attorhey for Applicant

666 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017-5621

Tel.: (212) 949-9022

Fax: (212) 949-9190